| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 09/776,858 | 02/06/2001 | Kiyoshi Inamochi | 010118 | 6845 |

23850    7590    03/11/2005

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP
1725 K STREET, NW
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

| EXAMINER |
|---|
| NGUYEN, NGA B |

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|---|---|
| 3628 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|---|
| | 09/776,858 | INAMOCHI, KIYOSHI |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Nga B. Nguyen | 3628 | |

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

THE REPLY FILED 25 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

<u>PERIOD FOR REPLY</u> [check either a) or b)]

a) ☒ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.

2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:

 (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);

 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);

 (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or

 (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
 NOTE: _____.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

5. ☒ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: *See Continuation Sheet*.

6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

 The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

 Claim(s) allowed: _____.

 Claim(s) objected to: _____.

 Claim(s) rejected: _____.

 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on _____ is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

10. ☐ Other: _____

In the arguments, applicant states that, Semple (US 6,085,177) does not disclose "a WEB transaction-use processing state table which enhances the normal processing state table and which stipulates a WEB transaction carried out by the WWW by the same specifications as the normal processing state table", examiner respecfully disagrees. Semple discloses the ATM system performs normal functions of a prior-art ATM system and additionally performs communication function to facilitate communication with the Internet. Thus, Semple's ATM system allows the user to perform both normal ATM transactions and access to the Internet. Semple's ATM system provides a normal user interface to both ATM transactions and the access to the Interent. By pressing the "Web Access" key, the system loads the web browser sofware out of memory so the user can access to the WWW. Although Semple does not mention "processing state table", but Semple teaches using two processing user interfaces separately, a normal user interface for ATM transactions and user interface for the Internet (column 4, lines 47-55), thus "user interface" in Semple is equivalent to applicant's "state table". Therefore, for the reason set forth above. examiner decides to maintain the Final rejection.


Nga B. Nguyen

March 3, 2005.